

Ethical code

For authors

Quaestiones Romanicae publishes only original articles, which are not included in other publications. The ideas outlined in the article must belong entirely to the authors.

Content changes will only be made by the authors, leaving editors the right and responsibility to correct any misspelling, grammatical and formatting errors. When plagiarism is proven after the paper is published, the article will still be available on-line (on the colloquium's website), but it will be marked as lacking authenticity.

Plagiarism, but also offensive language or images are not tolerated and will automatically lead to the rejection of the article. If formal errors will be claimed, the corrected version will be loaded, the original one being withdrawn. The removal of the article for other reasons must be accompanied by relevant explanations, also published on the website (replacing the initially removed article).

Papers in unofficial/ unfinished/ unrevised version will be read/ reviewed/ evaluated exclusively by publishers and reviewers.

For reviewers

The peer review process is based on the COPE Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers.

Reviewers have the responsibility to inform the editors in writing (by e-mail) in case they cannot comply with the requirements. Depending on the nature of the non-alignment to the COPE requirements, the editors are free to submit the article to another evaluators.

For editors

Before print and website publication, the articles will be reviewed by two evaluators following the criteria mentioned in the review form. The authors are responsible for checking whether the articles prepared for publication meet these criteria, but also whether they comply with the editing norms (before submitting them to publishers). Once received by the editors, written contributions will be sent to the reviewers. After the articles have been read and analysed, they will be sent along with comments and suggested changes to the editors.

Authors are informed by editors about the results of the review process and will receive suggestions for improving the initial proposal. In this situation, the authors resubmit the revised material, which will be subject to second analysis.

If the authors disagree with the suggestions/ indications of the evaluators, they will communicate directly with them (with the permission of the latter) or firstly with the editors.

All debates must be completed and the final version of the article must be submitted by the date set by the editors. If the status of the discussion and revision of the article is not completed by that date or if the authors refuse to materialize the suggestions received, the article will not be published.

Copyright policy

All published materials are protected by copyright from being partially or fully reproduced, copied or included in other publications. By "materials" not only the text of the articles are meant, but also pictures, diagrams, statistics, etc. used to reinforce, support, argue the proper text.

Partial or full retrieval of published articles is allowed only with the approval of the webmaster and authors.

In order to use other materials (images, logos, etc.) from the site, the webmaster's permission is needed. In the case of materials taken from protected, signed articles by other authors, the obtaining of copyrights is the responsibility of the author. The written approval to use the material included in author's own contribution will be forwarded to the publishers.

Copyright applies when material is published.