

Simina BADEA
(University of Craiova)

Evolution and Continuity in Romance Europe: the Use of Latin in Romanian Contract Law

Abstract: Romania has always been a complex space of culture, language and civilization in Europe, an essential factor of Latin continuity in one form or another. The multiple aspects of Latin inheritance are obvious not only within the Romanian space, but also within Europe. We consider that the European Union is similar to the Roman imperial organisation in many respects, the similarities being of institutional, political, cultural, linguistic nature. The Roman Empire gave a language, institutions and an effective model of state organisation to Europe and the entire world. The Latin language, as an evolution paradigm and element of Romance continuity, was, for eight centuries, the administrative and cultural language of the new post-imperial kingdoms of Western Europe, and is still "alive" today in the specialized languages of certain domains, the legal one offering it the place it deserves, that of fundamental component of our national, spiritual, cultural and, of course, juridical identity. Latin is used in Romanian legal texts in order to render them authentic and authoritative, in order to express various legal notions, concepts and institutions in a clear and concentrated manner, through some terms and maxims whose place was consolidated by practice and tradition. In Romanian contract law, the use of Latin appears as a distinctive, "elitist", but extremely necessary mark that experts in the legal field cannot ignore despite certain attempts to reform legal language, sometimes considered inaccessible, ambiguous. The paper aims to provide an analysis of a series of Latin expressions frequently occurring in the legal, specialized literature on contracts.

Keywords: Latin, Romanian law, contract law

Rezumat: (Evolu ie i continuitate în Europa romanic : utilizarea limbii latine în dreptul contractual românesc) România a reprezentat dintotdeauna un spa iu complex de cultur , limb i civiliza ie în Europa, fiind un factor esen ial de continuitate a latinit ii într-o form sau alta. Multiplele aspecte ale mo tenirii latine sunt evidente nu numai în spa iul românesc, ci i în contextul european. Putem afirma c Uniunea European se aseam n în multe privin e cu organizarea imperial roman , asem n rile fiind de ordin institu ional, politic, cultural, lingvistic etc. Imperiul Roman a l sat Europei i întregii lumi o limb , institu ii i un model eficient de organizare statal . Limba latin , ca paradigm evolutiv i element de continuitate a romanit ii, a constituit timp de opt secole limba administrativ i cultural a noilor regate post imperiale din Europa Occidental , i „tr ie te” i ast zi în limbajele specializate din anumite domenii, cel al dreptului acordându-i locul pe care îl merit , acela de component fundamental a identit ii noastre na ionale, spirituale, culturale, i, bineîn eles, juridice. Limba latin este folosit în textele juridice române ti pentru a le imprima autenticitate i autoritate, pentru a exprima diferite no iuni, concepte, institu ii juridice într-un mod clar i concentrat, prin intermediul unor termeni i maxime c rora practica i tradi ia le-au consolidat locul. În dreptul contractual românesc, folosirea limbii latine apare ca o marc distinctiv „elitist ”, dar extrem de necesar , de care juri tii nu se pot dispensa în ciuda unor încerc ri de reform a limbajului juridic, considerat uneori inaccesibil, ambiguu. Articolul î i propune s analizeze o serie de expresii latine ti care apar frecvent în literatura juridic referitoare la contracte.

Cuvinte-cheie: limba latin , drept românesc, contracte

1. Introduction

Romania has always been a complex space of culture, language and civilization in Europe, an essential factor of Latin continuity in one form or another. The multiple aspects of Latin inheritance are obvious not only within the Romanian space, but also within Europe.

An accurate and complete image of a Romance Europe reveals itself in the similarities existing between the European Union of today and the Roman imperial

organisation, the similarities being of institutional, political, cultural and linguistic nature. For instance, the concept of *pax romana* is an avant-la-lettre definition of the European Union, the status of the European citizen reminds us of *civis Romanus* and the European single currency of the Roman imperial currency. One should never forget that the Roman Empire gave a language, institutions and an effective model of state organisation to Europe and the entire world.

2. The Latin language - an evolution paradigm in Romance Europe

The Latin language, as an evolution paradigm and element of Romance continuity, was, for eight centuries, the administrative and cultural language of the new post-imperial kingdoms of Western Europe, and is still “alive” today in the specialized languages of certain domains, the legal one offering it the place it deserves, that of fundamental component of our national, spiritual, cultural and, undoubtedly, juridical identity.

2.1. Latin and the language of law

Latin is used in Romanian legal texts in order to render them authentic and authoritative, in order to express various legal notions, concepts and institutions in a clear and concentrated manner, through terms, phrases and maxims whose place was consolidated by practice and tradition. In Romanian contract law, the use of Latin appears as a distinctive, “elitist”, but extremely necessary mark that experts in the legal field cannot ignore despite certain attempts to reform legal language, sometimes considered inaccessible, ambiguous. The paper aims to provide an analysis of a series of Latin expressions frequently occurring in the legal, specialist literature on contracts.

2.2. Latin terms, phrases and maxims used in Romanian contract law

Different authors (see *Bibliography*) have noted the presence of Latin in legal language and, in this context, it is not surprising that Romanian contract law encapsulates the essence of its notions and principles in such Latin expressions of which we could mention:

Debitum cum re iunctum (‘Debt related to a thing’)

This expression occurs in relation to the right of retention, which is not expressly defined in the Romanian Civil Code, but is applicable in several fields, including contracts. One of the cases in which the right of retention functions makes reference to contracts as an application of the *non adimpleti contractus* (‘failure to perform the contract’) exception.

The main condition for the recognition of a right of retention is the existence of a connection between the debt claimed and the thing retained. This right entitles the person detaining another person’s thing which he has to give back, to retain it until the owner of that thing pays the amount of money he spent for the preservation, maintenance or improvement of the thing. In other words, the owner is bound to reimburse him for any expenses incurred.

Dies interpellat pro homine (‘The time limit summonses the man/debtor’). In another translation, this adage means “The time limit summonses (in full right) on behalf of the person (the creditor)” and involves the (debatable) rule that a debt subject to a time limit does not compel the creditor to summon (*interpellatio*) the debtor and place a formal notice when the latter did not pay his debt on the due date.

Locatio rei (<Lat. *locare* to let; *locatio rei* letting of a thing) is a contract whose object is the use of a thing or fund (e.g. hiring) or performance of works or services for a certain price within a certain period. Therefore, the hirer gains the temporary use of the

thing. As opposed to a contractor agreement, for instance, the price is determined in relation to the duration of the use.

It is also defined as “a bailment or lease in which the bailee or lessee may use the item for a fee”.

In case of *locatio rei*, if the time limit of the rental was determined by mutual agreement of the parties or, in the absence of a clause, by law, the rental lawfully terminates (*dies interpellat pro homine*) when the time limit was reached.

Commodatum (<Lat. *commodum datum*, where *commodum*, -i means ‘use, interest’) roughly translated as “a loan on anything, but money; commodate”, is a real contract by which a person, called a lender, transfers a thing for temporary use to another person, called a borrower, with the obligation for the latter to return it in kind.

Together with *mutuum* and *locatio*, *commodatum* is a type of contract for permissive use.

Mutuum (<Lat. *mutuum*, -i loan), meaning “a consumption contract” is a real, unilateral contract by which a person, called a lender, transfers a fraction of things to another person, called a borrower, with the obligation for the latter to return, on the due date, things of the same quality, quantity and type.

In Roman law, it denotes a loan in which the borrower is entitled to consume the goods lent and give back an equivalent amount just as in civil law systems, where it refers to a similar type of transaction, whereas at common law, such a transaction is regarded as a sale or exchange, because the particular goods are not returned.

Negotiorum gestio (‘management of affairs’) and *negotiorum gestor* (‘a manager of affairs’) are two Latin phrases of great density in Roman and civil law. If the former denotes the situation, the latter indicates the person. Thus, *negotiorum gestio* is not a genuine contract, it actually refers to a quasi-contractual situation in which a person, called *negotiorum gestor*, manages or interferes in the business transaction of another person, called *dominus negotii*, in the absence of the latter, without his authority, but out of friendship or concern.

The idea of “merit” and “just” explain the functioning of this institution which would not exist if the *gestor* acted self-interestedly or if the owner expressly prohibited any action on his behalf, on the part of the *gestor*.

Mutuo consensu and *mutuo dissensu* denote mutual agreement and mutual disagreement.

When the parties conclude a juridical act, they express their agreement, *mutuo consensu*.

Mutuo dissensu is an expression used in relation to the mutual agreement of the parties when terminating or cancelling a contract.

Procuratio means “management of another’s affairs; agency”. It mainly occurs in the expression *procuratio unicus rei*, which refers to power of attorney or representation for one juridical operation or certain operations, whereas *procuratio omnium bonorum* refers to a general representation of this type.

Res inter alios acta, aliis neque nocere, neque prodesse potest (‘an agreement between certain persons cannot prejudice or benefit other persons’). The agreement binding the parties to a juridical act does not affect third parties .

Resoluto iure dantis, resolvitur ius accipientis (‘the annulment of the giver’s right entails the annulment of the receiver’s right’) is a principle applicable within the scope of nullity effects.

There are several phrases and maxims firmly rooted in the matter of donations/gifts. They convey and emphasize the idea of giving, of offering, and, at the same time, they demand the fusion of gratification and gratitude:

Donari videtur quod nullo iure cogente conceditur ('It is called a donation what is given out of the donor's will, with no coercion'). No legal compulsion is involved in the case of donations. The main feature of the donation contract is the intention of the donor to gratify (*animus donandi*), with no defect in his declaration of consent.

Donatio sub modo ('Donation subject to a modification or qualification')

This type of donation contract imposes on the donee the performance of a determined obligation, either in favour of the donor (e.g. payment of a debt), or in favour of a third party (e.g. giving an item of property), or in favour of the donee himself (e.g. giving a great amount of money on condition that the donee continues studies).

Captatio benevolentia ('Attracting/Attempt to attract goodwill')

In simple words, it is an attempt to persuade other people. In legal language, it refers to the will expressed when concluding legal acts. A person's will must be expressed freely, it must not be either under undue influence or imposed.

The purpose is often that of fraudulently persuading someone to make a donation or leave a legacy.

The phrase *donandi causa* ('for donation') occurs in the matter of donations and contracts in general. For instance, the agency or representation relationship between parties may be doubled by a donation (power of attorney *donandi causa*), by a loan pre-contract (*credendi causa*), by an offer to pay the debt that the principal to an agent has to this agent (*solvendi causa*) or another legal act.

Ubi lex non distinguit, nec nos distinguere debemus ('Where the law does not distinguish, we ought not to distinguish')

This maxim is actually a rule of interpretation. According to this rule, if a law text is formulated in general terms, its application will be general. This principle, which can be extrapolated to contracts, has the purpose to limit the possibility of making distinctions as a restrictive interpretation process.

3. Conclusions

Legal language is characterized by a plurality of sources, and one such important, ever-lasting source is Latin. Since many current legal concepts, mechanisms, institutions in the Romanian legal system originate in Roman law, the occurrence of Latin borrowings is more than legitimate.

Latin legal terms and expressions, like all legal terminology, provide the advantage that they are not subject to semantic changes, having precise, constant meanings within the legal and social context and once again proving that Latin is an essential element of Romance continuity in Europe.

Many authors have emphasized it directly or impliedly, from various perspectives, but the conclusions are similar.

Thus, meditating on the legal implications of the *pacta sunt servanda* maxim (meaning 'treaties/conventions must be observed' and governing the contracts in civil law countries), Richard Hyland wonders: "Why did the *pacta* maxim arise and triumph in the civil law while remaining foreign to the common law tradition?", and he answers: "One

explanation is self-evident: *pacta sunt servanda* is formulated in Latin. (...) The success of the maxim may be due to the peculiarly intimate relationship between continental European culture and the Latin language”. Taking the opportunity to generalize, we can say that not only this maxim, but Latin itself is triumphant in present civil law systems and we should not be surprised that this language is still exploring its potential as a vehicle for legal culture and European civilization.

Along the same line, as Henri Rolland rightly notes in the *Avant-propos* to his book, what Latin words, phrases and adages have in common is the fact that they are formulated in the language of Cicero and Virgil, the same language as that of Justinian. The advantages are the density of information which avoids the elaborate periphrases of contemporary technocrats, as well as international visibility.

After defying time for centuries by its presence in various fields of knowledge, Latin remains an instrument of communication between scientific communities, an element of evolution and continuity in Romance Europe, acquiring and imprinting universality.

Bibliography

- Avram, V.I.; Roibu, M.; Stârc-Meclejan, F.N.F.; Olteanu, A.V., *The Language of Law*, Timișoara, Editura Universității de Vest, 2006.
- Burtea-Cioroianu, C.E., Ștefan, V.E., *Limba latină pentru facultățile de drept*, Craiova, Editura Universitaria, 2007.
- Deak, Francisc, *Tratat de drept civil – contracte speciale*, vol. I, II, III, București, Universul Juridic, 2006.
- Garner, Bryan A. (ed. in chief), *Black's Law Dictionary*, St. Paul, Minn., West Group, 1999.
- Hanga, Vladimir, *Adagiile juridice latine*, București, Lumina Lex, 2007.
- Mic dicționar juridic*, București, Lumina Lex, 1999.
- Hanga, Vladimir; Calciu, Rodica, *Dicționar juridic român-englez, englez-român*, București, Lumina Lex, 1998.
- Hyland, Richard, *Pacta Sunt Servanda: A Meditation*, 34 VJIL 1994, pp. 405 et seq., available at <http://www.trans-lex.org/124500>.
- Martin, A. Elizabeth (editor), *Oxford Dictionary of Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1997.
- Pitiriciu, Silvia, „La terminologie du droit pénal: l’infraction (aspects lexicosemantiques)”, in *Studii și Cercetări de Onomastică și Lexicologie (SCOL)*, year V, nos.1-2/2012, Craiova, Ed. Sitech, 2012, pp. 189-192.
- Rolland, Henri, *Lexique juridique – expressions latines*, (IIe éd.), Paris, Litec, 2002.
- Suleanu, Lucian; Rădulețu, Sebastian – *Dicționar de expresii juridice latine*, București, C. H. Beck, 2007;
- Strechie, Mădălina, „Similitudini între Imperiul Roman și Uniunea Europeană”, in *Analele Universității din Craiova - Istorie*, year XIV, no. 2(16)/2009, Craiova, Universitaria, 2009, pp. 81-88.
- Strechie, Mădălina, *Noțiuni de civilizație latină*, Craiova, Universitaria, 2008.